IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Page 1 of 5

NATALIE DAWN BINGHAM, M.D., M.P.H., et al.)
) CASE NO
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
ALAN McCRORY WILSON, in his official capac-)
ity as Attorney General of South Carolina, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSES TO LOCAL RULE 26.01 INTERROGATORIES

Plaintiffs, by and through their undersigned counsel, answer the Local Rule 26.01 Interrogatories of the Court as follows:

Interrogatory A: State the full name, address, and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of that interest.

Answer: None known to Plaintiffs.

Interrogatory B: As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or nonjury and why.

Answer: Each claim in the Complaint presents a constitutional issue, which is appropriately decided by the Court and not by jury.

Interrogatory C: State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly-owned company and separately identify (1) any parent corporation and any publicly-held corporation owning ten percent (10%) or more of the party's stock; (2) each publicly-owned company of which

Entry Number 2

it is a parent; and (3) each publicly-owned company in which the party owns ten percent (10%) or more of the outstanding shares.

Answer: Plaintiffs are not publicly owned companies.

Interrogatory D: State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division). See Local Civ. Rule 3.01 (D.S.C.).

Answer D: Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and Local Civ. Rule 3.01(A)(1) (D.S.C.) because Defendant Scarlett Wilson, who is a government officer sued in her official capacity, performs her official duties in this district, and all defendants are residents of South Carolina. Venue is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and Local Civ. Rule 3.01(A)(1) (D.S.C.) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this district.

Interrogatory E: Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this district, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which may be related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases are related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the clerk of court based on a determination of whether the cases arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings, or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

Answer: Plaintiffs are unaware of any related matters in this District.

Interrogatory F [Defendants only]: If the defendant is improperly identified, give the proper

Page 3 of 5

identification and state whether counsel will accept service of an amended summons and pleading

reflecting the correct identification.

Answer: N/A.

Interrogatory G: [Defendants only.] If you contend that some other person or legal entity is, in

whole or in part, liable to you or the party asserting a claim against you in this matter, identify

such person or entity and describe the basis of their liability.

Answer: N/A.

Interrogatory H: Parties or Intervenors in a Diversity Case. In an action in which jurisdiction is

based on diversity under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), a party or intervenor must, unless the court orders

otherwise, name – and identify the citizenship of – every individual or entity whose citizenship is

attributed to that party or intervenor. This response must be supplemented when any later event

occurs that could affect the court's jurisdiction under § 1332(a).

Answer: N/A.

[Signature Page Follows]

January 8, 2025

Columbia, South Carolina

3

Respectfully submitted by:

LAW OFFICE OF BILL NETTLES

Entry Number 2

Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/William N. Nettles

William N. Nettles (Federal ID No. 6586)

bill@billnettleslaw.com

John L. Warren III (Federal ID No. 12164)

jw@billnettleslaw.com

2008 Lincoln Street

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Telephone: (803) 814-2826

Rupali Sharma*

LAWYERING PROJECT

443 Western Ave., No. 1025

South Portland, ME 04106

Phone: (646) 490-1219

Fax: (646) 480-4622

rsharma@lawyeringproject.org

Paige Suelzle*

LAWYERING PROJECT

158 SW 148th Street, No. 1198

Burien, WA 98166

Phone: (347) 515-6073

psuelzle@lawyeringproject.org

Anita Yandle*

LAWYERING PROJECT

158 SW 148th Street, No. 1198

Burien, WA 98166

Phone: (646) 480-8942

Fax: (646) 480-8622

ayandle@lawyeringproject.org

Allison Zimmer*

LAWYERING PROJECT

3157 Gentilly Blvd., No. 2231

New Orleans, LA 70122

Phone: (347) 515-6074

Fax: (646) 480-8622

azimmer@lawyeringproject.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs